EXHIBIT A

Courser v. Allard et al. Case No. 1:18-CV-874

Testimony of Todd Anthony Courser Wednesday September 9, 2015 Michigan House of Representatives TESTIMONY OF TODD ANTHONY COURSER

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2015

MICHIGAN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

LANSING, MICHIGAN

CASE NO. AG# 2016-0132541-A

TRANSCRIBED BY:

JoEllen Byrne, CER 7242 LEGALLY CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION, INC. P.O. Box 181 East Lansing, Michigan 48826 (517) 332-1234

Lansing, Michigan Wednesday, September 9, 2015 2 (At 11:41 a.m., Rep. Todd Anthony Courser Sworn) 3 Do you swear that the evidence CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 4 that you shall give shall be the truth, the whole truth and 5 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 6 REP. COURSER: 7 I do. CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you. 8 REP. TODD ANTHONY COURSER 9 duly sworn by the Chairman, testified on his own behalf: 10 Thank you for attending, CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 11 Representative. If you would first introduce your counsel 12 with you, please. 13 Yes. Thank you, Chairman McBroom. REP. COURSER: 14 This is Dan Randazzo and Dareth Wilson. 15 And Ryan, help me with your last name. 16 MR. DOBSON: Ryan Dobson. 17 REP. COURSER: Thank you. 18 I appreciate you giving me the opportunity to speak 19 this morning. I'm gonna speak first from a prepared 20 statement and then--and then cover a few more issues after 21 And I'll be happy to take questions after that. 22 that. This--this goes to my request yesterday for the 23 I offer today my most humble and sincere apologies. 24 I would humbly accept a public censure for my role in the 25 Michigan Department of State Legal Services Administration

events and actions surrounding the investigation by the Select Committee formed through House Resolution 129 for the Michigan House of Representatives. It is my most humble opinion that a censure is the most appropriate resolution for me and the constituents of the 82nd District that I dutifully and respectfully serve.

I'm asking this esteemed body for forgiveness for my failures throughout this ordeal, for the opportunity for redemption and renewal and to continue as the state representative for the people of the 82nd District in the great state of Michigan.

I have admitted publicly that I was involved in an inappropriate relationship and the attempted cover-up. I look back at the events surrounding the disclosure of my relationship with Rep. Gamrat, and I do not recognize the person that did those things. I've no excuse, nor can I explain the ridiculous e-mail and the voice recordings, except to say that they were the actions of a desperate person.

Even now, looking at my actions and trying to explain those actions in and around May 19th leaves most everyone, including myself, bewildered. All I can say is that this was an incredibly tense moment in all regards. My actions in and around these events in no way rose to the honor that has been bestowed upon me as state representative.

I would also like to confirm that it was a mistake to not have clear guidelines on the strict use of government time by my staff. This created an untenable situation for them and for the official office in Lansing. This caused a lack of clarity of roles and responsibilities and caused difficulty in delineating personal, political and official duties for the staff.

Maintaining and allowing joint staffing was a mistake. I regret that the combined--combining of the staff improperly and inextricably intertwined personal and political matters.

Moreover, I will be cognizant of how I treat my staff in the future. These failures were mine alone. And for these failures, I offer my most heartfelt apology. I've already taken steps to eliminate the conflict with staff working on legislative, political and personal tasks. We are in compliance with the House Business Office rules. All of the above stated issues have been corrected, and new staff has been in place in serving constituents. Constituent calls, e-mails and letters are being returned promptly and respectfully.

I understand and accept any conditions placed upon my office by the House of Representatives as it relates to my request for censure. I would ask that the members of the State House accept my censure to allow this regretful chapter

to close. I believe this is the best outcome possible for both the 82nd District and also for the people of our great state. I believe in my--I believe and my constituents believe that I am qualified for the job. Otherwise, I would not have been elected. And I still have their support.

As General Counsel stated yesterday, there's no bright line as it relates to the issues before this Committee. The question is, does the events described in the report alone show that I have no honor or integrity? I must indicate no. While the events in the report are horrible, there is much left unsaid about me and the work that I've done since being elected.

If I believe censored—I believe if censured, I will be able to restore my dignity and that of the office in this institution. I will be able to effective—to be effective and know that I can rebuild the public trust through my actions.

As Winston Churchill stated, success consists of going from failure to failure without loss of enthusiasm. I have failed, but I remain enthusiastic about the opportunities that are before us. Finally, these responsibilities are mine and mine alone. And for these failures, I offer my most heartfelt apology. And I understand and accept any conditions placed upon my office by the House of Representatives as it relates to my request for

censure.

I would ask that the members of the State House accept my censure, however harsh they deem appropriate, and to allow this regretful chapter to close. I believe this is the best outcome possible, both for the 82nd District and also for the people of our great state.

God bless you, each one of you, for your service.
Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Is any of your legal counsel prepared to say anything?

REP. COURSER: I actually have--I'd like to speak to the--I'm gonna have to kind of go off this for a second because I--I think the--a lot of this relates to the qualifications. And Mr. Swartzle laid that out pretty specifically yesterday.

I think I need to kind of explain this—this idea, the hostile work environment, and also the e-mail, which is—is best I can tell you without—without prepared notes and that. But the relationship I had with these—with Ben and Josh, Ben Graham and Josh Kline, it was—those were core relationships in my life. And you know, these weren't guys that just came to work. And I know a lot has been made of my harsh treatment of my staff, and I know the e-mails kind of point to that.

Those were moments -- moments that happened. And

it's hard to really explain. I--I had a relationship with these guys that goes back to Ben's wedding, when he was barely old enough to drive and open houses and, you know, with their family. And with Josh, I prayed with him more times than I can count. And so my personal relationship with these guys really trumped--you know, it was--I had a relationship with them that was--that were the more intense relationships as far as friendships that I had.

And I'm pretty particular about the people that are with me. And that friendship, I just have to say it—I mean, I can't say it any other way. You know, I—I love them both. And it's—it still hurts to think that those relationships are severed. I—I don't know how to really explain it. But even going into it, it wasn't that I—you know, I wanted them to be a part of what was happening here, and they wanted desperately to be in Lansing. And I wanted that for them.

But their skill set didn't quite fit on the front end. And we had numerous conversations about that. And I can tell you even today when the revelations come out about Josh and that situation, my heart breaks for him and his family. And I'm hoping in the future that those relationships can be restored. I don't--I don't hold any ill-will to either one of them. I--I don't.

And it's tough in--in the situation where, you know, there's all this theater happening around us and all

the cameras and all of that. But in reality, you know, these guys were with me for years. In Josh's case, I've known the family for 25 years. And you know, it does, it absolutely breaks my heart. But when I came into the new position of being the state representative, I had now responsibility for administration to the district. And these guys have some amazing talents, you know. Fighting political battle after political battle didn't really translate to being good administrators. And I'm the one responsible for that. It's me.

I just wanted to share with you folks that, in--in reality, this wasn't me firing them because I was hostile. The other--the other case is really the truth. I didn't want them to go. There was no desire for that. And you know, I know that people say, why did they do it? I get this question every single day from people. Why would they do that? Why would he tape you? I think the revelation of my-my failure--I do--I think the revelation of my failure--they found out about it back in February. And I think the revelation of my failure, inside of that, and also the fact that our relationship was kind of being torn away, meaning it wasn't working in Lansing, I think it hurt them.

And--and so it's difficult as I sit here, because obviously this is playing out in public. But in reality, you know, I'm responsible for all of that. I'm responsible for

this room being here today and all of you folks having to take part of your summer to deal with this. But I just don't want that to be lost in the mix. You know, these guys were—they're—even now, you know, I wish the best for them and their families. And I wish they could've gotten jobs in other places. And I'm sure they're gonna go on to success.

But I wanted you folks to know that, that in reality, you know, those relationships were core--core to me. And I think they were to them. And knowing their testimonies and all of that and them knowing mine, I think it was just a tremendous failure knowing what I had done. And it was. And they were sons on occasion and brothers on occasion and fraternity brothers on occasion. And yeah, did I--did I growl at them on occasion when things didn't get done or weren't done right? I did. I did.

So I--I think I need to talk about just for a minute the e-mail and the recording. I think you folks need to hear it, because I think you listened to it and I think you guys have listened to it, the Committee. And God bless you for suffering through it. I don't know how many times you've had to go through it.

You know, when I listen to it, you know, the--I don't recognize myself. I don't. You know, even after I listened to it and tried to explain what actually happened in those moments and what led up to those moments, people say,

what was he trying to do? They say, was he--was it a misdirection? Was it to find out who the texter was? Or is it deflection?

They were the steps of a desperate person. They were the steps of a person who, you know, the revelation of those things were gonna come out in the next few minutes. And those things were gonna happen. And that was mixed in with a really serious personal—personal moment of—of—I didn't—you know, I—I don't think the tape really kind of reflects where I was at. I—it wasn't about the—the—covering it up.

What was going on there, when I look back and thethe craziness of all of that, I wanted to die. It was a really, really desperate and difficult spot. And you know, when you're in that spot—and I hope to God none of you are or have ever been in that spot—but there were a whole bunch of things that play, whether they're health. And also the situation in regards to my—my family. At that point, my mother knew. At that point, my brother knew. And I was either telling my wife that night or in the next night or two.

I don't know if you know what that's like to have to reveal those things to your spouse and know that your children are going to have to face those things. But that's where I was at. So every concrete embankment, every tree,

every moment, they weren't just concrete embankments anymore. They weren't. They were options. And it was difficult for those moments.

And I look back now, months later, and I try to explain it and I listen to the tape myself. And I stepped out to kind of collect myself again. I listen to those moments and I think, what the hell do you do with that? You know, what do you—what do you do with that? Because if I listen to the tape and, you know, Brock did a nice job of setting up the prosecution. You know, what—what do you do with that? If I don't know what to do with that—and I'm the one that was there and went through it—I don't know what you folks do with that. I mean, I'm just being honest. So I—I thank you for, you know, trying to wade through this.

And sure, I would, you know, like to go back and change the things I've done. I can't. There was—it was a crazy moment by a man who was in a really desperate spot.

And yet, I felt like that I owed you folks, really, what was happening in my mind and also with those relationships. And it's difficult at times to be able to come forward and try to explain it, because you can't explain the unexplainable. It was a difficult time. There was a tape made.

When Ben--Ben Graham knew or didn't know or Josh knew or didn't know or Keith knew or didn't know, my understanding is they knew back in February sometime. And I

think they were brokenhearted for the relationships what we had, and it was all changing. And that was really difficult for all of us. And just because you have friends doesn't mean that it's a good idea for you to work together or try to put together the next steps in your life. And I think this is a case in point of failure of leadership on my part. And it was.

So I--I get to this spot and I look at it. And quite honestly, when I look at the tape and hear it and heard it in Tim Bolin's office in its entirety--and I--I listened to the tape. And it sounds like a complete record. And yet, I don't really recognize myself in those moments. There was a lot of pressure. Obviously, the anonymous texter was part of that. But there's the pressure--the idea of having to reveal all of this to--to you all and to the public, in general, but more importantly, to my wife and children.

And obviously, that's all happened and that's all playing out. And we're working through those—all of those situations. But I just think it's important to be able to come to you folks. If it was me and I'm looking at it—Brock said his senses of—sorry, Brock, if I'm referring to you as Brock. Mr. Swartzle says, you know, immediate expulsion.

And you know, obviously I read here and I'm saying censure is more appropriate. But without more around that of how you got to that spot, and if you—you guys have the

responsibility, the Committee has the responsibility and also the Legislature has the responsibility of—of deciding is that it or is there discernment to look further and deeper into what was all going on there and what was sort of motivating those moments. And I—I think that's tough work.

If I was looking at me and looking at me in that situation, me, just hearing it, I would say expulsion was—was completely appropriate as an option. I put forward the censure because I think that if there's an opportunity to be able to redeem myself and to be able to come forward, I would ask that the alternatives—the—the alternative censure be put forward to the—to the House, as well, if the Committee comes back with expulsion. But I know that that's your—your call with that.

It's--obviously, this is all playing out in front-I would like to speak for one more moment, if I can, and then
I'll do whatever questions you folks have from the report. I
think I owe that to you all and to the public as well.

But I--the question is whether or not--what Mr. Sorry [phonetic] knew or what Mr. Swartzle knew or what the Speaker knew. I--I don't know what they knew. I know they met with them several times. I know they didn't go to human resources. We know all of that. But I don't know what the hell you'd do with it if you knew. I don't know what--what they would do in that situation, because it's such a unique

spot, you end up in this position where, you know, what do you do with that, knowing that he sent out this crazy e-mail and not knowing, really, where his mind was at and what was going on? And maybe you heard it. Maybe you knew there was a tape. Probably didn't. I can't imagine that was disclosed. And they didn't know about the texter.

So I was kind of on an island, trying to figure out who was doing this. So anybody that spoke to me, I'm looking at them thinking, are you involved in this? In reality, I don't know that that was the case. But I think, inside of this, it was a series from—a process. We can look at the process. You can say a series of unfortunate events the way that it happened. You can say it that way. But it was all set in motion by me.

And I--I just wanted to come before you without the prepared statement and the censure and just tell you that I am--I am deeply and truly sorry for what I've put this Committee through and the House and the--the smearing of that. You folks have the responsibility of looking at qualifications and--and saying, is this just a really stupid e-mail at a really dumb spot in somebody's life in a tape that's made about this or is there more and does this rise to expulsion or censure? I know you guys are wrestling with that, and I understand that.

So--but I just felt like--I did this in explaining

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this all in the front against the advice of my attorney, so just--just so you're aware of that. It isn't the first time I didn't take somebody's advice.

But people ask me who--you know, who is the texter? And that continues to happen. Law enforcement is looking into that. I don't know how really aggressive they've been to look at it, but they're-they're working through those details. There's two people I'm pretty sure that it's not. I'm--I'm pretty sure that it's not me. And I'm pretty sure that it's not Chad Livengood. I can go on the record and tell you that.

So--but otherwise, I just want to thank you all for the opportunity to come before you today, even in the really, really harsh and difficult circumstances, personally, to be able to hear and listen to and have my own words really Thank you. condemning me.

Thank you, Representative. Does CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: one of your legal counsel wish to speak first?

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. RANDAZZO: Yes. briefly, we're here to--

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Can you remind us of the name again, just for --

MR. RANDAZZO: Dan Randazzo.

Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN MCBROOM:

MR. RANDAZZO: We're here to--you're here to

examine the qualifications of Rep. Courser. And in looking at that, you have before you an incident with a trickle effect of a number of other incidents that followed. And the question for you is: Is this a pattern of behavior that is sufficient to be qualified for expulsion? And I think before you make that decision, you have to look at Mr. Courser and his whole body of work, not this incident in isolation.

Yes, he admits that it's a stain on this—on this House of Representatives. It's a stain on anybody related to this incident. And he's taken full responsibility for that. In fact, in the audiotape that we heard today, he took full responsibility even back then and knew that there were going to be significant, if not detrimental, things that flowed from—from his actions.

But again, I think you have to look at not only these incidents, you can't look at them in isolation; you have to look at him as a whole. And clearly, his constituents elected him to office because they thought he was fit. And they continue to support him. I know that—

I've looked at a number of e-mails and—that have been sent to him since this incident broke, in full support of him. In fact, while he walked out earlier today, some of his supporters were here on a tour, and—and offered prayers for him and still supported him.

So I'm asking you not to look at these incidents

alone, but to look at his whole body of work and his character in general. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you. Any of the other members?

[No Verbal Response]

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: All right. Representative, with such huge examples of lying, disrespect, willful deceit, misdirection, disdain for fellow members, how are we supposed to believe that right now is the moment that you're actually being candid with us?

MR. RANDAZZO: Well, when you look at this situation and his explanation for why it occurred, it's a desperate man in a desperate situation making bad choices. And he's admitted to that.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Sir, I--you know, if that example alone were what we have to go with--but you're encouraging us to take a broader view of all of the evidence. And what I have here--I mean, I have e-mails just from Monday where there's still just accusations--last Monday-- accusations towards other people, towards the other members of the House. A lack of taking responsibility. We have forgeries on blue blacks.

And I mean, I'm just--there is--there is a continuing pattern here. And you're encouraging us to look at what the continuing pattern is. I'm concerned that the

continuing pattern is not helping me believe the testimony today is what's--what is the genuine person.

REP. COURSER: If I can, Chairman McBroom, I appreciate the question. I think it's--I think it goes to kind of the point of the call of the question. Last week--even the--the steps since then, obviously, they've been out of emotion when it comes to my responses since the news story broke on August 7th.

And one of the things I have to do is apologize to the Committee, my misunderstanding on the front end of really sort of the way that the Committee was constructed. I thought you folks were getting hand-picked evidence that was going to come to you, and you weren't going to get the full evidentiary findings of the House. And that was my failure, my failure of understanding just on—on the front end of the whole thing. So I certainly have to apologize to you folks.

I hear it's 833 pages in the actual redacted portions. So, obviously, you folks have looked at a huge body of work. And so, just--just in looking at that, I--you know, I think there is a--I think you folks, obviously, have had full access to the evidence. I understand--not understanding the difference between this and a criminal proceeding, which has been pointed out to me plenty of times. I--I feel like that you folks have already seen the evidence. Some of it, obviously, is gonna be passed across this table

б

in--in--in conversation from each of the people you called forward. And I think you're calling forward the people that make sense for this--this discovery process.

Obviously, I think there should be--you know, there should be a few more when it comes to an understanding of the background. But I just tried to speak to that as far as the staff and also to the e-mail itself, because I felt like you folks needed to hear that and know that.

My heart is sincere when it comes to the idea of trying to move forward and go in the right direction. It is And you know, I—I think inside of that, I think there's a couple of other—there's a—there's a couple of other things when it comes to my reaction since August 7th. You can just say it was—it was responding. And really, respondings were out of the idea of still being attacked and not feeling like there was gonna be a fair shake. Everything that I've said wasn't necessarily getting, you know—getting understood as me trying to explain it. And when I did try to explain it, it was me casting accusations and dispersions on other people.

And I really didn't appreciate the effect of that and how that was affecting the Committee and also the rest of the membership. So, again, it was—it was—inside of that, there was—there was a lot going on. And I just wanna apologizes to you folks because, obviously, you guys have

looked at the full evidentiary hearing—or the full evidentiary package and have had an opportunity to look at it. I know the public, obviously, is looking through it as well. And I—I—if you want to speak to the other issues in the report, I know there are eight underlying allegations. If you'd like to, I certainly would be happy to go through those.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Well, Representative, I mean, I appreciate that you're trying to apologize and that you're telling us you're being honest now. But my question really still comes back to, you know, why now? Why suddenly? I understand you didn't understand process. You didn't--you were under pressure and duress and things like that. But we see a long pattern here that even predates May, even predates the recordings within the investigation of dishonesty.

And it's hard for me to accept that now is suddenly the moment when the light shines in and I can believe that this is not another attempt at misdirection, that this is not another attempt at manipulation. And I'm wondering, what can you offer to verify? I mean, you called this Committee before it even met--I mean, you can say you misunderstood the process. But I mean, we hadn't even been assigned and we're a kangaroo court. And so those misdirections and--and stuff, like you admit, are damaging to this process. But I and this Committee are charged with determining can we move--can the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-25

House maintain you in its presence and still believe that-that somehow the behavior that we're seeing today is the real you and not what we've seen over several months is the real you. REP. COURSER: I appreciate that. Obviously, it's

difficult circumstances all around. And you know, what is the--sort of the--I'm trying to be as real with you as I can. I don't come and tell you those stories--I'm telling you those stories because that's really the situation as far as my relationship with those men. And also the e-mail. I think it's tough.

Like I said, I think just based on th e-mail and the testimony there, you have to kind of call into question what was going on in that person's life. Either you can look at those incidents -- if you wanna speak to the issues before May 19th, you mentioned forgery. If you wanna go through the House report and -- and deal with those one at a time, I'd be happy to do that.

If you're--if you're saying that the alleged misconduct inside of the House report, I'd be happy to address those.

> CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Other--other member's questions? Vice-Chair Heise.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Thank you.

In your--in the tapes that I've heard--and Okay.

Legal Services Administration

Michigan Department of State

there's more than one. I'm assuming you've heard all four tapes?

REP. COURSER: I have not. The opportunity to be able to hear them, I ran out of time because of the other representative, her team being on it and then myself being-being after that.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Have you read the entire report yet?

REP. COURSER: It was--no, it was released, obviously, last night. I tried to get a copy over the weekend and was unable to procure it.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: All right. So you've had no opportunity to--

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Representative, you've been afforded the opportunity to come and view the report, the same as the other representative.

REP. COURSER: I couldn't. Actually, I requested that on Wednesday. They were busy on Thursday. She was in there. We were able to see it when it came to Friday. We spent, I think, five hours with the material. I asked for a copy of it at that point. There was some confusion as far as the ability to be able to give me that copy. I don't think it had been completely redacted at that point. And so I was not able to. But I will speak to the underlying allegations, all the same, if you--if you want to bring those out.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: The allegations or the evidence? REP. COURSER: The evidence. I'm sorry.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: So you can speak to the evidence?

REP. COURSER: Well, whatever. If you--if you have an issue that you'd--you'd like to bring out, I--

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: All right.

REP. COURSER: I know from the report and the nine pages what the underlying allegations are, and I'll try to do my best to answer it.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. So in the tapes, you've described--you've told us today that that's--that wasn't you. That was--was a different person. And can you elaborate a little bit more on--on your state of mind, then, in those tapes?

REP. COURSER: Well, I think I've already explained sort of my state of mind. Especially, just the first one in my office, the difficulties that were there. Obviously, then explaining it in the second audio, I think it's mostly me that's speaking in the second audio. I can't speak to the specificity on the other two. My understanding is they speak more to campaign or political stuff, conversations in the last two audios that happened.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: So what--what person were you when you issued the 4,000-word Facebook essay about a week

ago?

REP. COURSER: In that situation, I was responding, obviously, out of emotion. I did. And obviously, that's the--what we just talked about with Chairman McBroom, discussing the issues related to it and responding to, say, you know, I didn't understand the--the makeup and that you folks had the full evidentiary package and were gonna have full access to that. And I think you folks have.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: So do you believe that you've been-that you were part of some kind of a conspiracy? Is there some sort of a conspiracy that's being waged against you?

REP. COURSER: Well, I--I would just go to the texts, obviously, that were happening. I don't--I don't lay that at anybody's feet. I don't think the Committee was involved in that. I don't think the leadership was aware of it. I think that was a separate thing that was going on that created, obviously--you know, when you have months and months and months of that, the personal pressure that was related to that, obviously created a different paradigm for how all these things look and the way that they--they go together. So, obviously, that person hasn't been found.

And you folks will have to weigh whether or not those are contributing circumstances to the e-mail itself and also the responses that happened. The last text actually

happened on August 7th in relation to that. I know that that's not really a part of the qualifications that you folks are discussing. But for me, it was—it was really paramount that that was continuing to happen, continuing the awareness, the tracking, the GPSing. All of that. And that was the—that was the world I was operating it.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: So the outlandish e-mail that you concocted with your staff, that--is that just part of your past now or do you still--do you still own that?

REP. COURSER: The May 19th e-mail?

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: The--the one that we reviewed yesterday with the--the inoculate the herd e-mail, the one that you directed your staff to send out.

REP. COURSER: Well, I--I mean, obviously, he was-he was a friend of mine. I've spoken to that. He ended up being-he used staff. We were off State time, off State hours in a spot where he operated a consulting business, had his computer equipment and--and worked for other--other political people. I've already said publicly numerous times that I'm the one that concocted it and put it together in some bizarre attempt to try and--to try and deal with the issues that were there.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Do you believe that that e-mail has brought this body into disrepute and--and shame?

REP. COURSER: Yeah. I think the -- I think it's

brought a lot of disrepute and shame to myself and my own family. And I think that having the embarrassment brought to the House, I think, is—is something that I certainly am really, really sorry that that's happened.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Have you apologized to your staff at all? You describe almost a family type of relationship with these two. Have you—have you personally apologized to them?

REP. COURSER: I did, actually. I sure did.

Actually, in the second tape, you know, I apologized to Ben.

You can hear that. And there were several other times that

weren't taped where we had discussions about it. And just
saying, you know, obviously, it was a huge failure. And I

know I was a disappointment to him and the difficulties

inside of that. And I know, you know, in regards to the

others, I didn't have the opportunity to apologizes to Mr.

Allard in regards to that, that I can remember. I just can't

remember.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: You state that this was--I don't know--a moment of--of extreme emotion brought about by, you know, various factors that were going on in your life. But you've said in the--at least one of the tapes that I've seen, you say that this is something that you've been thinking about for eight, nine, 10 years. And you also make reference to a prior incident two or three years ago where--where it

sounds to me, at least, like you've--you had done a similar type of false flag operation. How would you respond to that?

REP. COURSER: No. There was a false flag operation or some kind of operation done on me in regards to the prior—the prior election. I don't—I was not involved in the—that whole situation. I would speak to the other issue. I think in the—in the—if I remember the tape that we just—that we just listened to, you know, talking about sort of the—thinking about how this would all end. And I'm not really sure where that comment came from or what that really was derived to in that—in that moment.

I know all along the way I've wondered how this would all end, you know, as far as my political time and what that would actually look like. And you know, I can't really speak to the specifics of that—that comment, as to what was—what was going on at that second.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Do you believe you spent any State money for the purposes of perpetuating your affair?

REP. COURSER: No. I think the situation inside of the--inside of that, we had a series of intertwined personal business and also political--personal business, political official. The--the situation was inside of that, that we had a--we had an untenable work situation related to the personal relationships that I had. I don't think inside of that, that I asked anybody to cover it up or hide it in any specific

way. What I was asking them to do is try and allow my family and myself to work through those things personally so that we'd have that opportunity to be able to do that.

My wife found out that weekend. I'm obviously in counseling. She's in separate counseling. We're going through those steps. I'm working with counselors myself in trying to work through those steps and trying to do that in private. And that was really what the situation was.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: I want to establish some clarity on that last answer, if I may interrupt Vice-Chair's line of questioning.

Did you--how did you just answer the question? Did you misuse State resources?

REP. COURSER: In--inside of that, I mean, obviously, the--the misuse of State resources, there were personal conversations in the State House, speaking specifically to the report. People wanted me to stipulate to everything in the report. The problem, obviously, with that is that there are some things where they say they may or may not be legal. No rule cited in some of the--some of the report findings. And so there was no rule on some of those.

So until you stipulate to all of them, what are you actually stipulating to? So that's in the precursor to what you're saying. The misuse of State resources, it was clear in the audiotapes when it came to the--the conversations as

far as personal and political stuff that was happening on State property. I absolutely completely stipulate to--to that. I take full ownership of that. There were conversations that happened. There were conversations that happened in regards to our personal lives, both related to this--this stuff and also to staff's personal lives and also to political happenings that were happening out in the--

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Representative, I'm not at this point trying to ask about misuse of conversations that were had. I'm asking about the State resources themselves. Are you saying yes or no to having misused State resources?

REP. COURSER: I'm not understanding.

MR. RANDAZZO: Mr. Chairman, I--I think he's stipulated to the report that was generated regarding the misuse of State funds. And I guess the question, if you could rephrase it in specificity as to what. He's already stipulated to the report.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Well, it's very clear within the House Business Office rules that State resources involve equipment, computers, office space, staff.

MR. RANDAZZO: So you're asking him if he used

State resources other than what's already been in the report?

Is that--

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: No. I'm asking--I'm seeing a lack of continuity here. Okay. The report says that you

Michigan Department of State Legal Services Administration

misused State resources, including those things that I've just listed. You're now, at least, being difficult to answer whether or not you misused State resources. Rep. Heise asked. You seemed to say no. And now I'm asking if that's what you want to say. Are you saying yes or no to misusing State resources?

MR. RANDAZZO: I think Representative Heise's question was, did he misuse State resources with the affair?

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: No. He asked--

MR. RANDAZZO: With the relationship.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: --if he--

MR. RANDAZZO: That's my recollection.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: That's not correct.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Fine. Let's expand on that.

Have you misused State resources?

REP. COURSER: Okay. Well, we're going to go to the broader question.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I mean, affairs, politics, whatever?

REP. COURSER: I think I've already in my prior statement said that as far as personal in the conversations that happened, there was clear--clearly, there was the use of State resources, meaning the property and the facilities to facilitate those conversations.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Hold on, Representative.

There's a point of order.

1.7

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Mr. Chairman, I asked the same question to Ms. Gamrat yesterday and her attorney and the Board didn't allow her to answer it because of the relevance. And I'm gonna raise that objection now.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: I--I appreciate the point of order, Representative, but there's a distinctive difference here. She stipulated very specifically to all of the things in the report. And now Mr. Courser, in my listening, has suddenly created an inconsistency between what he has stipulated and what was in the report and what he's saying here in front of us now. And that lack--that lack of consistency, I believe, is important to--to dive into.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: But Mr. Chairman, he did stipulate to what was in the report.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: And then in his comments, seemed to refute that.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay.

REP. COURSER: I think my--what I--I was speaking to the situation with the relationship. There was clear misuse of--of State resources, just because of the interplay between the personal, the political and the official. And I--in my statement today, I--I clearly said that those things really facilitated the misuse of State resources. So I was speaking to it generally, Chairman Heise, as far as

specifically to the -- to the relationship. I've already spoken to the fact that I think that's where the clarification is coming in. I could be wrong.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Okay.

REP. COURSER: Meaning there were misuse of State resources in conversations that happened. And it's pretty clear on the tapes that those happened at the State House. If you're asking about further than that, I guess--if you could give me some clarification, I'd be happy to answer it.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. So you believe that you've misused State resources?

REP. COURSER: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Rep. VerHeulen.

REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Rep. Courser and Counsel, for being here.

I--I just have a series of what I think are very short--short questions that you're able to answer almost with a yes or no, and some of which relate to comments I've heard attributable to you. The first is, on August 31st, you said that the House Business Office, quote, doctored the report, close quote, and that it was a, quote, political hit, close quote. Do you maintain or repudiate your words of October--August 31st?

REP. COURSER: The August 31st e-mail I was posing

a series of questions in regards to, you know, whether or not the process was going to be fair and if I'd be allowed a fair hearing or a fair adjudication of the underlying issues. I didn't feel at that point—and that was out of emotion in regards to that. So I—I—honestly, I think you folks have looked at it. I feel very confident that you folks have looked at the record. I looked at the portions that I could look at. I think it's been released to the public. I don't think there's any, you know, hidden voodoo that's—that's occurred. The public is now going through and looking at the—the various pieces.

So I think the--you've--there's been a lot of transparency to the things that are here. And I appreciate that in regards to all of that. So my fear was, obviously, is that this wouldn't be the opportunity to be able to, one, even speak to you folks in regards to the issues--the underlying issues that are here. That was my--my sense of it. That has been dispelled.

REP. VERHEULEN: So if I--if I understand correctly, you're not saying under oath that the report was not doctored. Is that correct?

REP. COURSER: Well, I wouldn't know what happened between the House. I didn't read all 833 pages that were released. So I couldn't--I couldn't speak to that.

REP. VERHEULEN: To the best of your knowledge, at

this point, you have no evidence--1 2 REP. COURSER: To the best of my knowledge, yes. 3 Yes, Rob. REP. VERHEULEN: And you do not believe at this 4 5 point that it was a, quote, political hit? REP. COURSER: I don't. Asking that question, it 6 was actually with a question mark, I think, after that. 7 REP. VERHEULEN: And the same question with respect 8 to your comment that you were being, quote, targeted as a 9 form of political retribution. So I'm taking it that you're 10 testifying today that you do not view this process as a form 11 of political retribution? 12 REP. COURSER: No, I have not. 13 REP. VERHEULEN: Then I--just with respect to the 14 report itself, do you--do you agree that the -- with the 15 findings of the House Business Office that there was official 16 misconduct and misuse of State resources? 17 REP. COURSER: Yes. 18 REP. VERHEULEN: Do you agree that you engaged in 19 deceptive, deceitful and dishonest conduct? 20 REP. COURSER: Yes. 21 REP. VERHEULEN: Do you believe that you abused or 22 misused your State employees? 23 REP. COURSER: In regards to their--24 REP. VERHEULEN: As identified in the Business 25 Michigan Department of State

Office report.

REP. COURSER: Yes. I spoke to that at the beginning right after my censure resolution to explain sort of the background of that. And I think those moments, inside of the e-mails, those were moments. And I--I think that they were, by and large, well treated. I think there were moments where it was--obviously, there were--I could've treated them better, certainly. But--but again, they were very personal relationships. And so we were dealing with those issues. And most of those issues were--I would say were--as I said before, were caused by me and the lack of real clear bright lines between work and personal in those relationships.

REP. VERHEULEN: Did you instruct or allow your staff to forge your signature on blue backs?

REP. COURSER: No, I did not. What—I think that needs some clarification. Forging, obviously, is done without my knowledge or without my consent. The—the events that led up to—to that week, I can explain, if you wanna hear it. If you don't, somebody can object, I guess. Inside of that, the—I wasn't going to be available the day that those came back. I spoke to my chief of staff. I asked what was the process to be able to do that. In the legal profession, we do it. It's called signing for another. And so you can sign for other attorneys, attorneys I've never met, with their permission. And so I was—I was falling

under that.

I asked my chief of staff at that point to speak with the Business Office to say, is there an exception for that? My understanding was, and they affirmed to me, that, yes, it was not a problem to do that. I should've checked myself in regards to that. But that's actually how it happened. So when they came back, they let me know. And I said, yeah, well, if—if it's okay, go ahead and do it. So I should've checked and been more involved in that.

REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Representative, are you saying that the House Business Office told your staff it was all right to have somebody else sign the blue backs for you?

REP. COURSER: No, I don't know that they ever had--my staff ever had any communications with the House Business Office. But they informed me that it was okay to do it. It still falls on my shoulders, regardless. I don't think it was forgery. It was probably the idea that they were signed by staffers, but I was aware of the fact that they did it. At that point, not understanding that there was any problem with that situation.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: You--you understand that it was your responsibility to know these rules?

REP. COURSER: Right. I--I think I've clarified that I should've checked with the House Business Office

myself and not relied on the staff in that situation.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Rep. VerHeulen, did you have more?

REP. VERHEULEN: I have two--two more short questions.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you.

REP. VERHEULEN: Representative, you may have answered this, but I--I just wanted to confirm it for the record. I--I saw your reference--your characterization of this Committee as a kangaroo court. And I believe I think I heard you say you no longer hold that view.

mean, I would just reiterate that is not the case. I'm assuming you folks have had the full evidentiary package.

That's my assumption. You guys have had the opportunity to look through it. You can determine if there is more maliciousness or more misconduct or are these really the acts of a desperate man who sent a really ridiculous and stupid e-mail that is sort of baffling and mind-boggling and is--is that what it amounts to? And I know you folks will wrestle with that issue and try to discern what's the right steps forward.

REP. VERHEULEN: My final question at this point, Mr. Chairman, is, Representative, do you believe the House should accept or tolerate the conduct that you engaged in?

REP. COURSER: In regards to all of it?

REP. VERHEULEN: As reflected in the House Business report, which I--I think you previously characterized as a balanced report or an accurate report.

REP. COURSER: No, I don't think that the House should tolerate it at all. That's why I said I think you folks are going to wrestle with the idea, you know, does this rise to the idea of censuring? Is this a pattern of behavior or is it a ridiculous e-mail and a ridiculous moment in some guy's really, really hard life that happened to end up on tape? I think that that's a--that's a really tough situation.

I just bring forward the censure because in my heart of hearts, I'm falling on the grace and mercy of the Court in saying I--I would ask for a lesser penalty than--than expulsion in that situation. Honestly, that's really the situation. So I know you folks have to wrestle with that. I know that won't be an easy task, nor will it be an easy task for the full House.

REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Rep. VerHeulen.

Rep. Liberati.

REP. LIBERATI: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Good afternoon, Todd.

REP. COURSER: Yes.

REP. LIBERATI: Rep. Courser, there seems to be a little confusion, in my mind anyways, on the May 19th tape recording about the--about how you authored the e-mail, how it was developed. The false flag e-mail. There was a phone call that was from Cindy, you said. And when I ask you, is that--was it truly Cindy?

REP. COURSER: That is accurate.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. How much did she know? She has stated that she didn't know the full details. Can you-can you maybe just give us a little narrative on-on the authoring and the editing of that e-mail with Cindy and Joe?

REP. COURSER: I would be happy to, yeah. The--the situation was she had a really bad signal that night.

Obviously, I was in--I've already said in sort of a different state, both for health reasons, also just fatigue. In sort of my own personal, you know, my personal low spot. And I, obviously, reached out to a friend in that situation. What happened was that call came in at that moment, I'm recollecting, as far as the situation that happened.

So I just tell you all of that because, in reality, I wrote that e-mail in the moments after I had asked Ben to come and see me. So it was--I hadn't--she didn't know the content of the e-mail. She didn't know that it had actually gone out at that point. She didn't know that there was

actually--she didn't--she didn't know any of those details.

So I don't think she would have in any way approved of the-of the action whatsoever. But she didn't--I don't know if
that answers your question.

REP. LIBERATI: Well, on the tape, you stated that you actually had discussions with Joe and—and Rep. Gamrat in composing the e-mail, and they actually—I think, quote, was they actually wanted it more bent towards her or more leaning towards her to take more responsibility. Now, that seems a little more involved discussion than what you've just stated.

REP. COURSER: Right. Yeah, I--I don't--I don't remember how that all played out. I just know that I wrote it before. And when you listen to the tape, I'm not exactly sure what I'm referencing there. But that was the--that was the segment of conversation that we had as far as the--as far as the communication. I don't--I can't--I'm sorry. I wish I could tell you how that all played out in regards to that.

With Ben, I was still also--which we haven't talked about, I don't know that it's pertinent--I'm trying to figure out what really is going on around me in the environment around me. And so I don't know if he's involved in it. And I've asked him point-blank several times, was he the--you know, was he involved in it? Did he know? Did he give information? Because this was very, very detailed. And the person knew a lot about me and my whereabouts. So what I'm

telling him in some of those comments is really to try and—
I'm trying to figure out, is he actually the person? And
that's—that's where I was at with that. So it might've been
that I'm telling him something that—just laying out a story
to try to figure that out with him.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. Thank you. Let's move to the next—the next tape recording, the next—I think it was two days later, when Rep. Gamrat was actually in her office. I think that—that tape took place in her office. Discussing the e-mail and she doesn't seem to question any—anything. She's—she's—she's going along. When you're apologizing to Ben, she apologizes to Ben.

I'm just having--I'm trying to pinpoint the difference in your composing the e-mail, whether you composed it, and her knowing. How much did she know about the e-mail? You said you believe--now, that's your opinion--but you believe that she would've objected to some of the content of that e-mail. Is that what you just said?

REP. COURSER: Well, my looking back, I object to the content of the e-mail or sending it myself. So I don't think any person in good conscious would--would, you know, accept the idea that it was happening or the way that it happened. And in those moments, I--

REP. LIBERATI: She was in those moments, too.
REP. COURSER: Yeah. No, I'm--

REP. LIBERATI: Did she go--she went along with it?

REP. COURSER: Well, she didn't actually know--my

understanding is she didn't actually know or didn't see the

actual e-mail and didn't know that it had actually gone out

until the 21st. I think she was--it was shown to her by a

reporter, if I remember correctly. But I wasn't there.

REP. LIBERATI: The 21st of May?

REP. COURSER: I think so, yeah. I think in the afternoon. I don't think she saw much of it, just that there was—some of the content. The person was saying—what we did find out at that moment what—what—you know, obviously, the—there was lots of things going on that night. And really, what I was trying to do is do something that the—the person that was sending these texts wouldn't expect and try to get them to do something different to see if they could—I could get them to reveal themselves.

So--so just to give you--just to kind of finish that thought, so on the 21st when that happened, then I was approached by a reporter who had a copy of it. And they said that they had it from a confirmed source that I was the author of the e-mail. So there's only one person in that situation. So I was trying to drive back in some weird way, not knowing if it's the people around me or who was involved in it, what actually was going on in the background. But she didn't have any of the content, I would say, until it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sometime in the afternoon on May--and I don't know how much she even read then. She just -- you'd have to--I mean, obviously, what was the understanding or whatever. REP. LIBERATI: So your comments during that phone call, while you were on the line, were not necessarily accurate to Ben when--when you were saying, yeah, she's--you were talking to her about the e-mail. I'm trying to get Ben to send it. REP. COURSER: Yes. REP. LIBERATI: It's over the top. She knew it was gonna be an over-the-top e-mail, she just didn't know the exact wording? I don't even know how much of REP. COURSER: Yeah. my comments she heard because, like I said, I'm waiting to

REP. COURSER: Yeah. I don't even know how much of my comments she heard because, like I said, I'm waiting to hear from her, you know. Is that all right? But it's a broken signal in regards to that. It was a very short conversation, and then it just ended.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. One more question at this point.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Is it in the same line?

REP. LIBERATI: Yes, actually it is--it is in the same line.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Okay.

REP. LIBERATI: Why I'm asking these to you is I'm trying to determine--well, let me just ask you. You have

asked for censure. Rep. Gamrat has asked for censure. The House Counsel, Majority Counsel has recommended expulsion for you and censure for her. I'm just wondering, do you--do you think that's fair? Do you see differences in your actions, yourself?

REP. COURSER: Well, obviously, I--I would--I think I've kind of explained my actions as best I can, looking in reverse. Some of them are explainable. Some of them are not explainable in any sort of rational or reasonable sense. And I'm trying to give you folks the best testimony that I can. I really am trying to do that.

You know, I think in--in her situation, obviously, she--you know, she's been allowed the censure or there's a movement to try and recommend censure. You know, you guys will have to kind of wrestle with are there differences between our actions in regards to that? I--you know, I can't really comment to that. I think that censure is appropriate for her. I think it--I would ask for it from--from you folks as well. I think it's an appropriate step in my regard as well. I can see why there's some real question as far as the--the expulsion option and the way that that works. I can't really speak to the intricacies of how they arrived at censure versus expulsion for her.

I--I think it's--to me, I look back and I say, what is it? You have some conversations in the State House that

amount to misuse of taxpayer funds. And I've said I would certainly reimburse the State if they want to calculate how much that is. And you have a ridiculous e-mail sent out by a guy in a desperate moment. And does that amount to enough to--to censure or expel? I mean, you have to look at sort of the way those things have played out with other members. And you folks have to wrestle with, as a legislature, to decide what is the standard for qualifications for expulsion versus censure.

And I think that that's the situation. So, Rep.

Liberati, I can't--I--I really can't tell you the distinction
that was made for House Counsel determining the censure
option versus the expulsion option.

REP. LIBERATI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Representative, I would like to go back to a question from Rep. Liberati on the e-mail and the conversation with Rep. Gamrat that evening. It seems very obvious from the conversation on the phone that you had at least at some point already talked with her about doing an e-mail. Maybe not the content, but that there would be a false flag e-mail. When did that occur? When was that previous conversation?

REP. COURSER: I think it was--I think it was earlier that day, but I--and now we're thinking back and doing it in retrospect. But he was asking about the content,

1 knowing it. I'm assuming that—I'm working with somebody, 2 I'll--you know, it was a conversation [unclear] try and connect with Ben and see about -- about doing something to take 3 a step in that direction. I--I really can't remember the--4 the details in relation to that. But--but I think she knew 5 6 that I was attempting to put something in motion. don't know that -- well, I know there is no way that she could know the actual content at that point, so. 8 CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Vice-Chair Heise. 9 REP. COURSER: And I think I noted that in the 10 thing, you know. I think even inside of it, I think I noted 11 that. 12 VICE-CHAIR HEISE: You were investigated by the 13 14 House Business Office, correct? Yes. 15 REP. COURSER: VICE-CHAIR HEISE: And you were interviewed by 16 17 them? REP. COURSER: I was. 18 VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. Did you--did you--at any 19 time, did you ever lie to the House Business Office? 20 I don't--I don't--I don't think so. REP. COURSER: 21 I mean, there might be a clarification you want to make 22 specifically. I'd be happy to clarify. 23 VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I'm just asking. Have you taken 24 any steps to delete any evidence relative to this case or 25

destroy anything connected to this matter since--since it first came to light?

REP. COURSER: I don't really delete anything, so I just--it's a bad habit of mine. I don't think I have any texts deleted. Or if they are, it's inadvertent. I don't have a habit of--of deleting anything.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I'm confused about your relationship with your former staffers. You've described them as brothers, frat brothers, almost like family. But yet, in your answers to Rep. Liberati, it--it--it seemed to me that you still think that these two or one of them is the--the extortion texter that you've--that you've been concerned about. Could you elaborate on that?

REP. COURSER: Well, I think the--that's the difficulty. I mean, it really is something that is difficult for myself in regards to just dealing with personally. If these guys knew and how they knew and how early they knew. My hope and prayers that they're not involved--

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Excuse me, Representative, there's a point of order.

Turn your microphone on, Representative.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: You know, nowhere--I mean, we're not investigating the texter. We're trying to do the qualifications of both of them. There's nothing to do with that in the report.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: All right. I agree,

Representative. We'll move on from that.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Pass for now.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Vice-Chair Heise.

Rep. LaFontaine.

REP. LAFONTAINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Okay. So this Committee was established to determine your fitness and if you're able to continue to hold the office that you have today. And as I'm sitting here, what I'm hearing from you are a lot of excuses. And I have read through this report, but I want to talk a little bit about your conduct and your presentation today.

First off, you showed up late. That was a little bit disrespectful to the Committee. And you showed up late coming in here. You, in explaining stuff, describe yourself as desperate, that you have a hard life, that you acted emotionally when posting certain things online. You described your berating e-mail as a moment that you had with your staffers. I don't care. As a staffer--well, I previously was. I don't care if it was a moment. I don't care if it was a minute. I don't care if it was a month. It was unacceptable. You do not treat staff like that.

You also claim you were under pressure in sending the ludicrous e-mail and you didn't recognize yourself. So in all of this, do you personally feel that you are fit to

continue representing the 90,000 people or so that reside in the 82nd District?

REP. COURSER: Yeah, I appreciate the--obviously, this morning, dealing with my own testimony as I came in, obviously, is a huge issue. Out at my truck, trying to collect myself emotionally and try to get myself together. And to come in to even be able to face you folks and walk through these steps.

So I think there's—you have to look at the character and fitness and what those qualifications are. When I look at it, I was elected by the people of Lapeer County. And you know, they saw that I was fit to serve. I believe that this is a—obviously, a moment. It is certainly a difficult one in my life. And I'm not trying to make excuses today. That wasn't my intention. I was trying to provide clarification. That's all I'm trying to do. And I apologize if it comes off as being excuses. I wanted to give you folks the background and try to answer as honestly as I could in relationship to all of those issues.

I don't blame the difficulties in my life for any of the--the failures of responsibility that I have. They're my failures. I--I think I've tried to say that. If I haven't, I'll say it again. They're failures of mine and they're failures of my responsibility. Going to the underlying question, you know, what--what's the measurement

of fitness? You know, the measure of fitness of trying to serve, I think the responsibilities that lay before me, one, to my family, to the people of my district. And then, you know, as a believer in Christ, I mean, there's no measurement by which that failure doesn't, you know, where I don't fall short. I think that that's the case.

And you folks have to--to wrestle with, is that a pattern? Did that happen? Are there, you know, other extenuating circumstances or not or is this really a momentary--sort of a momentary situation with some really bizarre influences that--that caused it to happen? And I don't--I don't believe the--this other person, this anonymous person. I set all of those in motion by the relationship that I had, which was--which has been well documented and, obviously, played out over the last 30 days all over the world for people to see. So I--I apologize for showing up late in regards to that.

REP. LAFONTAINE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Representative.

Vice-Chair Chirkun.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Thank you.

I have a few questions. The first one being the inoculate the herd e-mail. Who--who did you send that out to and where did it go?

REP. COURSER: It ended up--it was--I started--I

have a very large e-mail list of--of people. I think it's-it might be as many as 40,000 people that the e-mail list is
for. It didn't go out to all those folks. I started with
just a--I started out sending it, and it was in small
batches. And so it was a batch and then another batch and
another batch, in the hundreds. And I was doing it until the
texter did something.

So the idea was it starts on the 20th. It goes out the 21st. By the time we get to the 21st, in that situation, the texter responded and said, I know that you're the one that did it. So he said, change your password. You can see the text. Change your password. At that point, I stopped it because I knew either, one, he was my inbox or, two—and at that point, changing passwords again, going through that, having the phone swept again, working through that, trying to come up with what were those situations? All of that, obviously, is peripheral stuff. And maybe it doesn't make any difference to the qualifications. But that's really the situation. So it stopped at that point. So it was—I don't know how many hundreds it was that it went out to.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Did any of the representatives in the House or the Senate get this e-mail?

REP. COURSER: I don't know. You'd have to ask them. It got forwarded around quite a bit after it went out to those folks. So--I know most people, when you get an

e-mail like that, it goes to spam anyway. But I couldn't tell you if any actual representatives received it or not.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: There has been some notations in here. And you might have turned it in. But you have a--you had a computer. And I know Sgt. Dixon [phonetic] went to your office and your home to try to retrieve it. Did that computer ever find its way back to the House Business Office?

REP. COURSER: I'm unaware of the situation with Sgt. Dixon tried to retrieve a computer at my house or my office.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Okay. Well, then my follow-up question is, do you have any property that still belongs to the House of Representatives?

there were two--two laptops that were in the back of my--they were in my truck. I didn't know the House Business Office was--was--you know, that they needed those back. I returned them as soon as I--I found that out. I rarely used either the laptop or that surface. It didn't work very well when it came to administration. That's not to the technology part. It was just probably the operator on my end. But there was very little that I did, actually, on either one of those units. And I informed the House Business Office when I handed those off to Tim Bolin. And whatever they did to try and retrieve the information that was on there, one was used

by--I think it was used by Mr. Allard, and the other one was used by Mr. Graham. I really didn't use the House technology--the technology apparatus that was there.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: You, yesterday, gave us a letter. Rep. Gamrat came in and gave us a letter. And you both basically--she, in my own words, is she pled guilty. She fell on the sword. Have you had any conversations with Rep. Gamrat within the last 10 days other than anything pertaining to the legislation portion of our jobs?

REP. COURSER: No. My--the conversations in regards to--you're talking about the letter as far as stipulating to--

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Well, you--you gave us a letter. She gave us a letter. And my question is, did--have you had any conversation with her in the last 10 days?

REP. COURSER: Not related to anything other than the--the--you're talking about the legislative stuff that's going on?

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: I mean, other than that, you might've talked to her about legislative stuff. But did you have any other personal conversation with her?

REP. COURSER: No. I--I can't really recall the last 10 days. I've really been focused on this as far as the-as far as the letter. This was prepared as we were trying to work with--work in to try and come up with what

would a censure option even look like? And I wanted to present this to you folks in regards to that. So the—the letter was prepared with my counsel, who were attempting, obviously, to inform you folks that I would like a censure.

VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: I have one last question.

And--and--and it's in the book. But when--I want to verbally hear it from you. When did you know that you were being taped by your staff?

REP. COURSER: I--I--as far as my understanding of it--well, I knew on August 3rd when Chad Livengood came to my office at that point and played a short clip of it that Ben Graham had then taped me back as far as May 19th. There was a sense inside of that that it had been going on in the office for some time because of the way that there was an almost interrogation type style by the staff that was in the office.

Ann Hill and—and Karen Couture, who now—who now has a married name, that the offices were bugged. Now, I don't know what that related to or whatever. Now we know that actually there were some taping going on inside the office. I don't know that it was wiretapping or whatever. But they made comments to other staff in regards to that, that they were bugged. So I got the sense of that.

Obviously, the personal relationships overshadowed

all of that. Like, would they really be involved in this?
Would they be involved in the background in doing these types
of things? And—and so I—it was difficult to be able to
reconcile those two things with the personal relationships I
had with them.

. VICE-CHAIR CHIRKUN: Okay. Thank you very much, sir.

Mr. Chairman, that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Vice-Chair Chirkun.

Rep. VerHeulen.

REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Representative, in response to Vice-Chair Heise's question, you--you indicated that you believed you were being truthful when interviewed on August 17th by the Business Office.

REP. COURSER: Yeah. I can't remember. They took notes of that. And then I came back and did a--some adjournments to that with--with Doug and walked through that. But I didn't see the final copy. I never did see the final copy in regards to that. I asked for it. But I think just administratively, I never did see the final.

REP. VERHEULEN: And let me--let me ask you this. The report indicated that you said that Rep. Gamrat did not know about the e-mail cover-up until she was shown a copy of the e-mail on the House floor by a reporter. Is that what

you told the House Business Office?

REP. COURSER: Right. I don't know how I--I mean, obviously, the--to expand on that, she knew there was a meeting. That's on the tape, which we knew at that point. She didn't know the--I don't think she knew sort of the--well, she didn't know the content in regards to that. And I think that's what I'm speaking to.

REP. VERHEULEN: No, no. Your statement to the Business Office was that she did not know about the e-mail cover-up until she was shown a copy on the House floor by a reporter. And I think we've just heard her call in on the audio of May 19th. And there was a second audio of a meeting with you and Rep. Gamrat present where it was very, very obvious that she knew. She may not have known the specifics, she may not have read the e-mail, but it was very clear, at least to me, that she was aware of the--of the e-mail going out and what I would characterize as a cover-up.

So I'm having difficulty reconciling your statement of August 17th to the House Business Office with the two tapes. So I guess I'm asking you to clarify in response to Rep. Heise or maintain it.

REP. COURSER: Yeah. No, I--I would simply say, she--you know, obviously, she knew about--that we were putting some plan in motion. She knew the generalities in regards to that. I would say sometime on May 19th somewhere.

Did she know the content and that it actually had happened? She didn't--I don't think she knew that until the second tape. But even at that point, she still had not seen the content until we went into session that day. And it was shown to her, I think, by a reporter. But I can't remember on that.

REP. VERHEULEN: You are comfortable in--in--in reconciling that with your statement that she did not know about the e-mail cover-up until she was shown a copy on the House floor by a reporter?

REP. COURSER: What I was--

REP. VERHEULEN: In view of those--the tape recordings that we've all listened to, you believe that that would--that statement made on August 17th to the House Business Office was truthful?

REP. COURSER: Yes. I--obviously, the House
Business Office is a compilation. If I looked at it further,
you know, to clarify, I could've clarified everything that we
just talked about again. But in regards to what she knew
about the content, I didn't say content at the House Business
Office.

REP. VERHEULEN: Let me ask you this. If I asked you, and I am asking you, did Rep. Gamrat know about the e-mail cover-up prior to being shown a copy of the e-mail on the House floor by a reporter, what would your response be?

REP. COURSER: She knew that I had met with Ben Graham and that I was putting something in motion. Ben left that night. Ben had refused to do it. Her assumption at that point is that it didn't happen.

REP. VERHEULEN: So--

REP. COURSER: So there was no--she didn't--so, essentially, at that point, it kind of failed. And then it was renewed the next day. I think it was the next day. And then at that point it was put in motion. I reaffirmed to her in the--on the 21st. I don't know what she--what she understood as far as the content. What I'm trying to tell you is that I don't think she knew that until we actually came to the floor that day.

REP. VERHEULEN: You are comfortable saying that both on August 17th and today that you believe the statement that she did not know about the e-mail cover-up until she was shown a copy on the e-mail--of the e-mail on the House floor by a reporter is an accurate statement, a truthful statement?

REP. COURSER: Well, yes. On--on the 19th, what I'm trying to tell you is that--

REP. VERHEULEN: I'm asking you, you told the House Office--Business Office on the 17th that Rep. Gamrat did not know about the cover-up until shown a copy of the e-mail on the House floor. And I'm having great difficulty reconciling that statement with the May 19th and the May 20 or 21st audio

recording. And you know, your testimony is your testimony.

But when I hear her participating in a discussion with you and staff members, I can't reconcile that with your statement to the Business Office. And it's not for me to reconcile.

If you're—if you're comfortable that that statement was true on the 17th and you're standing by it today, that's your—that's your statement.

REP. COURSER: Yeah. Thank you, Rep. VerHeulen. would just say what I was speaking to in my mind when I was talking to the House Business Office is the content or the severity or where that was at. I tried to correct those statements. Like I said, I didn't see the finals of those.

REP. VERHEULEN: Well, if you had an opportunity today to correct that statement, would you revise the statement you made or you were reported to have made to the House Business Office on August 17th?

REP. COURSER: Yeah. I would say that it was the content that I was speaking to. I'm not looking at the statement that you're talking about. But I would go through and actually try to explain the steps that we've tried to talk about here today in regards to what happened on May 19 in regards to all of the various issues related to that through the 21st. It was my understanding she didn't see the content or what really had happened until she got to the House floor.

Thank you, Mr. REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you. 1 Chairman. 2 Thank you, Representative. CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 3 At this time, in order to fulfill the House rules 4 to not be in Committee without a leave from the session, we 5 will recess until we're granted leave by the House. 6 (At 1:00 p.m., Recess Begins) 7 (At 4:30 p.m., Recess Ends) 8 The Committee will come to CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 9 We'll continue on with where we left off before 10 recessing, if Rep. Courser and his legal counsel would please 11 come back forward to their seats. 12 Thank you very much. And I'd just remind you, 13 Representative, that you remain under oath. 14 We'll move on with questioning. Rep. Liberati. 15 REP. LIBERATI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 16 Welcome back. 17 Thank you. REP. COURSER: 18 REP. LIBERATI: I've got three questions. 19 break them up, take somebody in between or however you see 20 fit, Mr. Chair. 21 Okay. First question, when--on the first 22 audiotape, you--when you asked Ben to send it, you--I'm not 23 sure exactly how--he was supposed to send it at night. 24 night you asked him to send it. And you said, you can take 25 Michigan Department of State

the next day off, take tomorrow off, be sick. And he said paint the room or something, paint the garage. I don't know what he was saying. But I'm just kind of curious, if—if the e-mail was to be sent at night off State property with his own computers, why did you say take the next day off? Just—I didn't quite understand that.

REP. COURSER: Inside of that, I--I mean, I'm going back and trying to recollect the conversation of what was going on at that point. Again, in all of this, trying to-trying to explain. But inside of that, the conversation that we were having was referring to, one, we had talked about his family and we had talked about him and, you know, that sort of thing.

And I didn't understand at that point the paid idea versus the unpaid, you know, that, hey, some of these days are paid with—I've never been in the public sector before. So I wasn't really referring to the idea that he's taking paid days off in that regard, at least in my mind, when I'm looking back. No, I just heard the audio, its entirety, this past week. So I'm also trying to—trying to understand and go back and, you know, sort of recollect inside of that. So I can't really speak entirely to that. I—I just didn't know the process that it would take on the overnight. I didn't know how that all worked out. He didn't end up taking that day, nor did he end up sending the e-mail out.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Okay. Thank you. Continue.

REP. LIBERATI: Karen--I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing her name right. Couture--Coulter?

REP. COURSER: I pronounce it Couture.

REP. LIBERATI: Couture. Okay.

REP. COURSER: Couture, yes.

REP. LIBERATI: Karen Couture, did she work at your law firm?

REP. COURSER: She did, yes.

REP. LIBERATI: She did. Now, did she work there--did she work for you part time in the House?

REP. COURSER: She did temporarily at the beginning. She would work a few hours at my law firm. Sometimes when things got--got busy, she would work more. She's a very, very detail-oriented, very process-oriented lady. Takes care of file management. And so she--obviously, inside of that, we brought her over, because we had at the beginning. We didn't have--you know, she wanted to come over. She's worked in--in--in government before. I think she worked for the EPA at one time as well. And so she wanted to come over and work in the State House. And so we--we took that step.

And she started initially part time--or tried to, you know, sort of put in time at the beginning. And then it became clear--and I talked to Mr. Sorry about it, to make her

full time and bring her over here completely and allow that to happen. And that's what we did.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. In the meantime, before that, I've never had a staff member work outside, so I'm not familiar with the form. But there is—there is approval we need from the Speaker's Office if one of our staff members are going to have—or they need and we have to okay it, as the representative, for them to work somewhere after State time. To have a second job, I guess, to say. So I believe you filled one out for one of the employees, because—because Keith and Ben, you have said, had somewhat of an operation, a political operation at your office. So I'm just wondering, did any of the three, did you do that form? Or just one of them? I'm not sure.

REP. COURSER: I actually several times visited with Mr. Sorry on the House floor concerning the situation with--with Karen in trying to move her over here and explaining the situation. And so we--we--there was a form that we filled out--and I can't remember the exact details of it--to disclose her working at the law firm. Once we figured out there was more than enough on this side, really, she just--essentially, that became her work. And--and we've eliminated her from--from the office on the other side in the legal office.

So she does--does a great job. I never have an

issue of whether or not something has been followed up and documented. So that was really my need at the moment, to really feel like we were answering every constituent issue and non--non-issue--or non-constituent issues for people that were calling in and around the State. We just felt like we needed to make sure that we were doing a good job on--on following up with those folks.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. So--but you did not fill out that form for Josh or Ben?

REP. COURSER: I don't remember, because that was at the beginning. And what they did for me was contract. So I can't remember going--now we're going back into December. We probably should have in regards to--I know they're suppose to disclose political operations that they were involved in. I honestly can't remember. It was all--it's all really sort of fuzzy at that point, so.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. One more line of questioning, if I may.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: [no verbal response]

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

REP. COURSER: One follow up on that?

REP. LIBERATI: No.

REP. COURSER: Is it okay?

REP. LIBERATI: That's fine. .

REP. COURSER: You're good?

Michigan Department of State Legal Services Administration

REP. LIBERATI: Yeah, I'm good. 1 Okay. Thank you. REP. COURSER: 2 I'm gonna try to get back REP. LIBERATI: Okay. 3 into something I asked some of the other people testifying 4 about the time line on the firing of your staff. Okay. 5 I've got Josh--Josh Kline resigned from your office. 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chair, we've got 7 construction workers outside the window right now. We're 8 gonna have to--9 Stand at ease [inaudible] CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 10 (At 4:37 p.m., Stand at Ease) 11 CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: The Committee will come to 12 Thank you, Mr. Clerk. order. 13 Rep. Liberati, please continue. 14 REP. LIBERATI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: But expediently. 16 REP. LIBERATI: Okav. 17 Josh Kline resigned from your office March--I've 18 got two different dates. March 27th or April 15th. Is that 19 correct? 20 I can't remember the exact date. It REP. COURSER: 21 seems like it was--22 But he did--Okay. REP. LIBERATI: 23 Yeah, I can't--REP. COURSER: 24 REP. LIBERATI: --resign approximately--25 Michigan Department of State Legal Services Administration

REP. COURSER: He did.

REP. LIBERATI: --at that time. Okay. Now,
May 1st--I guess I could ask you this--this, also. Did you
know on May 1st that they--they, being Ben and--I don't know
if Keith, Ben for sure informed Brock slash/Norm about
unethical behavior in yours and Rep. Gamrat's office. You're
aware that that happened on May 1st?

REP. COURSER: I was not aware of that.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. That is in the—in the report. That May 1st, Ben had a meeting with Brock and Norm. Now, on May 19th is when you asked them to send that special false flag e—mail. Okay. That was on the 19th. They did refuse to do that—or Ben refused to do that. Now, on the 21st is the second tape with you apologizing to Ben for asking him to do—do such a thing. That was on the 21st, so two days later.

Now, on the 25th is when both Ben and Keith received pay raises. Okay. And that was on the 25th. Now, on July 2nd, Graham and Allard took Ann Hill for a walk. I don't know if you've read this in the report or if you're--if you're even familiar with this.

REP. COURSER: I am familiar with that incident.

REP. LIBERATI: Okay. With that conversation. So basically, they spilled their guts about everything they thought was happening in your offices and asked her, what are

you going to do? What's--what's happening now? Ann has said that she mentioned this to you and Rep. Gamrat after the fact. Now, on July 6th, Ben and Keith were fired. To me, the time line--because there are documentations that you are unhappy with some of their performance from January. Now, to me, it seems from January to May 25th, when you gave them raises--I mean, you had all that opportunity. And now four days after you find out they're talking to another staffer about indiscretions in your office, they're fired. I need to ask you straight out. Did that have any bearing on their termination?

REP. COURSER: As far as the conversation they had outside of the office--

REP. LIBERATI: Correct.

REP. COURSER: --with Ann Hill? I would say that was the catalyst, but the--what happened in that incident as far as the conversation is that they were really trying to push Ann Hill out of the--out of the State offices. And so there was a sense that there was intimidation going on. And we asked them to kind of explain that situation. To remove her from, obviously, the offices and then telling her that the--the offices were bugged and they had to go out on the capital--you know, out on the capitol lawn to be able to speak with her. That was the situation in regards to that. We couldn't reconcile that with the idea of all the other

issues that were going on inside the office to say, hey, you guys--we've got a really good staffer in Ann. We've got a really good staffer in Karen. And now they're trying to push this lady--push this lady out. And it didn't really make sense what they were--what they were attempting to do.

And so at that point, it just became—there wasn't really anything else we could do. And we informed Tim Bolin that—that it was time to go. I think that's what you're asking. But the—the situation was we were—we were trying to replace the—or not replace, but replace the missing two people with people who were good clerical people and people who administered and loved administering.

And you know, Karen was doing a fantastic job in that. And so was Ann. And they were coming up to speed inside of that. And they knew they, obviously, couldn't get rid of Karen. She'd been with me for, I think, five or six years at that point and—and had seen Ben and Josh and their failings because they worked—she worked at the next desk next to them for going on three years before she came to the State House. So her interpretation of—of Ben and Keith and Josh was totally different, obviously, than, you know, than—than what their interpretation of what their work performance and that sort of thing was. So you can look at the staff in regards to that, but—I don't know if I'm answering your question or not.

REP. LIBERATI: You did off the bat. You said that 1 might've been the catalyst. 2 REP. COURSER: All right. So I ran off again on 3 Sorry about that. another tangent. 4 REP. LIBERATI: Thank you. 5 Sorry about that. REP. COURSER: Yeah. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REP. LIBERATI: 7 Thank you, Rep. Liberati. CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 8 Vice-Chair Heise. 9 I'd like VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Thank you very much. 10 to follow up on some questions that were raised by Rep. 11 VerHeulen before the break. During the investigation with 12 the House Business Office, you stated that Rep. Gamrat did 13 not know about the e-mail cover-up until she was shown a copy 14 of the e-mail on the House floor by a reporter. 15 accurate; yes or no? 16 MR. RANDAZZO: I'm going to object to the question. 17 I think that was the exact question that--18 I can't hear you. CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: 19 MR. RANDAZZO: I'm going to object to the question 20 because I think that question has been asked and answered. 21 That's the exact same question that Rep. VerHeulen asked 22 before the break. And I think it was asked and answered. 23 VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I don't know if it was answered. 24 I--I thought we--I thought that was right before the break 25 Michigan Department of State

there.

MR. RANDAZZO: No. I believe he did tender an answer to that.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Well, Todd, do you want to--I'm sorry, Rep. Courser.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Mr. Vice-Chair, go ahead and move forward with your next question.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. Because I'm looking at Rep. Gamrat's statement to the Select Committee from yesterday. And she says, Rep. Courser and I discussed a number of options, including an over-the-top e-mail to identify the source and also mitigate the potential negative publicity. So is she telling the truth or is she lying?

REP. COURSER: What I was speaking to in the House Business Office, I think, when we were talking--Rob, when you asked the same question before the break is the content of the e-mail. And I know that was transcribed by people in the House Business Office. And I corrected those. I didn't see the final report as far as what they had written down for my testimony. But it wasn't from tape that I remember that-- that that actual comment happened.

So at that point, in the House Business Office we were having a conversation. I don't know that that—that statement is the totality of all of it. I was speaking to the content, if I remember and recollecting now to those—

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. So you didn't--

REP. COURSER: --conversations.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: --you didn't lie to the House Business Office?

REP. COURSER: I--I don't think so, no. I didn't intend to in any way deceive them.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. Thank you for now.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Mr. Vice-Chair.

Any further questions from members?

[No Verbal Response]

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: I will ask my last one. It's similar to what I led off with. But I think, you know, I'd--I would like to pose it to you a little differently here at the end after going through this process today. With such huge examples of failure, misjudgment, character flaws, can you really believe that you remain qualified to serve? How can--how can we be sure that, you know, a similar situation doesn't arise when you're under pressure? Because you blamed a lot of this to having been under duress, under extreme pressure. How do we know that circumstances won't once again lead to all of these problems, these misjudgments happening again?

REP. COURSER: Well, I appreciate the question, to bring it back again. You know, I think it's hard to--it's hard to go back and explain to people what was going on at

. 24

that moment. I would just say that first and foremost. And you know, I'm just here to try and explain that as best I can and give you the testimony as best I can.

And I know you folks are wrestling with the idea of qualification and what that means for this legislative body. I understand that. I felt it was important for me to come and testify and to give you as much clarity. And I know I've run on in some of my questions or answers, trying to answer what I think you're asking, but yet give information around that.

But I would just say it was a very unique situation. It was incredibly—it was incredibly unique. And I wanted to come and explain that. Does it amount to a series of, A, character failures or the moment—is it a moment that it happened and sort of everything precipitated from that? My sense is, you know, when I look at it, I'm saying, you know, that moment was a really difficult time. It isn't the totality of who I am.

And I think that taking steps in a positive direction, one of them is this, and sort of acknowledging and saying, you know, yeah, I screwed up. I can't--there's no way I can really, you know, call it anything other than that. There's no question about the failure, no question about the situation with the e-mail. At that time, those things were going on and, you know, trying to, obviously, straighten--

straighten those things as we go along. I think I can serve, and I think I'm able to contribute to this body and contribute to efforts to try to make this State, you know, great. And so I would just ask inside of that—I think myself, looking at it, it was just a very unique situation. I don't explain the circumstances because I'm trying to deflect; I'm just trying to give you sort of the totality of everything that was happening in those moments. I know you folks are going to be the ones wrestling with the qualifications. And I understand that.

I put forward the censure resolution. And I understand that you folks are, you know, wrestling with whether it's expulsion or censorship. I, you know, I fully appreciate that. So my effort was to try and just explain the uniqueness of all of that and explain, really, what was going on in those moments with me.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you.

Seeing no further questions from the Committee--

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I do.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: All right. One more, Vice-Chair

Heise.

б

. 24

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: All right. Thank you.

I want to talk about the false flag e-mails again or e-mail. You called your e-mail a controlled burn, which you described as a little bit of the truth mixed in with a

lot of lies. So the false flag e-mail does have some truth to it; yes or no?

REP. COURSER: Well, I mean, I guess inside of that, I'd have to go back and look at the e-mail myself to figure out what part was truth. I mean, she was kicked out of caucus. I wasn't in caucus. I guess there's--there's a little bit of truth. I don't know past that what you'd call truth. I'd have to, you know, kind of think about it. It was a really difficult moment, as I've explained, you know. So I don't know if there's anything that I can really add to the--the idea of the false flag e-mail.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: And--and your e-mail also states that Rep. Gamrat knew about it all along and has helped cover your actions. Is--is that a true statement?

REP. COURSER: No. I mean, the--inside of--I guess I'm not sure what you're referring to.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: I'm talking about your e-mail.

Rep. Gamrat knew about it all along and has helped cover your actions.

REP. COURSER: Well, I think it's--it's all of those bizarre things I said about myself before that is what I was referring to, so.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. And again, the--the--this was a moment in time, I think you described it this morning, but you had nothing to do with the 2014 campaign

flyer that was very similar in its tone?

REP. COURSER: Are you asking a question?

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Yeah.

REP. COURSER: No, I did not.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. And so any reference, also, in the tape that we heard today that when you said to your staff that two or three years ago, the last time we did this, do you know what that means?

REP. COURSER: The last time we did this in campaigning. I was involved, I think, in six campaigns in seven years. So I think we were referring to--and I don't know how that fit into the rest of the context. There's a lot of brokenness inside of that as far as where I was at personally. I--I can't tell you specifically. I heard it in totality just this past week from four months ago.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Also, in your--in your comments, you have--you make the comment, quote, they want us dead. I heard that again this morning. They want us dead. Who--who is the they in that comment?

REP. COURSER: I'm not--I'm not really sure.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: Okay. So do you think that there are still people, either in this building or elsewhere outside of this building, who--who want to do you harm? Do you feel like you're still being exploited in some way or extorted in some way?

б

1.1

REP. COURSER: The last text that I received was August 7th.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: And you felt that that text had an extortion element to it?

REP. COURSER: Well, I mean, I--I released, you know, those--the police--law enforcement is looking into it.

I--I don't think there's anybody in this building--I would go back and tell you. I don't think there's anybody here in this Committee or in the leadership that is trying to--trying to come after me in some way.

VICE-CHAIR HEISE: What assurance do we have--and this is my last question, Mr. Chair.

What assurances can I get from you that you're not going to have another moment in the future?

mean, obviously, there's no—the extortion plot, whatever it is, is no longer happening. It's been exposed. Obviously, the details of the relationship have been exposed and, you know, all of that sort of thing. So coming forward in explaining it, you know, the best I can give is to come and give the testimony that I have and try to correct the wrongs that I've done and move forward from here. I can't correct the past. The past is the past. I just need to—need to explain it and move forward from there.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman--Mr.

Vice-Chair, pardon me.

One quick one? All right. I'm trying to get us

REP. LIBERATI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: --home for the evening.

REP. LIBERATI: In that first tape, the audio recording, you tell Ben--or you state, I thought--or yeah, I thought I was going down before. I'm wondering if you can explain that or if you remember that. You were talking about this e-mail. You've been thinking about it. Well, I've thought about it. I thought it was going down before, like years ago is the way it made it sound to me. I'm--just, if you remember that comment, if you have any--any thoughts on it.

REP. COURSER: Again, it's tough to--it's tough to go back. Like I said, I don't recognize myself in those moments. I would say inside of that, is that, you know, when you lose the number of elections that I have--I think only Lincoln has lost more than I've lost, you know. And I'm joking there, but I think it's pretty close. I don't--I don't really remember the context of--of what that was about. There was a lot of choppiness to the conversation as far as my responses to it. So I can't--I can't give you--I can't give you where there was at.

REP. LIBERATI: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you very much, Representative.

And one more did come in, but this will be our last one.

Rep. VerHeulen.

REP. VERHEULEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you again for being here. This is—this is an easy one. I just wanna make sure that you feel that this process has been fair, that you've had an opportunity to speak to the Committee, address the facts. And I've—I've gone through it, as we all have. And I'm sure you have as well. But I just wanted to ask you to comment on that.

REP. COURSER: Yeah. Can I ramble a little bit here? No. My attorney said, no, absolutely not.

REP. VERHEULEN: No, you may not.

REP. COURSER: I think it's--

REP. VERHEULEN: Follow the instructions of your counsel. Don't ramble.

REP. COURSER: Thank you. I would just say inside of that, I have tremendous respect for you folks for doing this. And I'm really sorry to put all of you in this situation, first and foremost. I'm sorry for the disrepute that I've brought to, you know, the House. And you know, commenting, you know, on where, you know—I don't even know

how to answer for you, Rob, other than just to say I'm very thankful for the opportunity to be in the State House. I'm very thankful for what that's meant. I would say I think I came in.

And I was joking just a few minutes ago and said, if there's one thing I would go back and do and change, it would probably be to go--to go less heavy. I think I--I think if I've learned something inside of that. It's to say, hell, not everybody is against you. And so I--I--I'll do less e-mails and less Facebook posts, certainly, coming out of this whole situation.

But in any event, I--I would just say I think it has been an absolute fair process. And I'm very thankful for you guys and the effort you've put in over your summer. I know [inaudible] has put in a whole bunch of work and, you know, walked through all of that. And I know Brock has as well. So I'm--I would say it's been an absolutely fair process.

One other note I would say—and I will have to tell him personally, is to Kevin Cotter, to the Speaker. And I think it's absolutely essential to—I know there were meetings that happened. I don't know the content of those. I don't know what you would do in that situation with all of those situations going on. But I don't think in any way he knew about the texter or he knew about the tapes or any of

that sort of thing. I think that they were trying to figure out what to do with all of that. I genuinely think that.

And so I know that some people are—inside of that, you're looking at how does that—that go forward? I understand that. But if I insinuated in any way that the leadership was involved in those parts in the background, I'm—I didn't mean to do that.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: Thank you, Representative.

Thank you for taking the time to come before the Committee today. And--and thank you for waiting through the long recess as well.

REP. COURSER: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCBROOM: All right. Members, seeing no further business before the Committee, I'd just remind you that tomorrow morning we will reconvene at 9:30.

Vice-Chair Heise moves that we adjourn. Hearing no objection, we're adjourned.

(At 4:39 p.m., Proceedings Adjourned)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
) ss
COUNTY OF INGHAM)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this transcript, consisting of 81 pages, is a complete, true and correct transcript of the testimony of Rep. Todd Anthony Courser at the Michigan House Special Committee Meeting recorded on Wednesday, September 9, 2015 in Lansing, Michigan.

DATED: March 10, 2016

JoEllen Byrne, CER/7242

LEGALLY CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION, INC.

P.O. Box 181, FIRM I.D. #8481 East Lansing, Michigan 48826